

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/835,311	BRAGA ILLA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sunray Chang	2121	

All Participants:

Status of Application: open

(1) Sunray Chang.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert D. Traler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2006

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney has been informed that it is over 6 months since last office action mailed out and further been informed to response if any further activity regarding this application would be filed. Yet, no response has been received.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)